

## Web Security II

### Question 1 *Session Fixation*

A *session cookie* is used by most websites in order to manage user logins. When the user logs in, the server sends a randomly-generated session cookie to the user's browser. The server also stores the cookie value in a database along with the corresponding username. The user's browser sends the session cookie to the server whenever the user loads any page on the site. The server then looks the session cookie up in the database and retrieves the corresponding username. Using this, the server can know which user is logged in.

Some web application frameworks allow cookies to be set by the URL. For example, visiting the URL

`http://foobar.edu/page.html?sessionId=42.`

will result in the server setting the `sessionId` cookie to the value "42".

- (a) Can you spot an attack on this scheme?
- (b) Suppose the problem you spotted has been fixed as follows: `foobar.edu` now establishes new sessions with session IDs based on a hash of the tuple (`username`, `time of connection`). Is this secure? If not, what would be a better approach?

## Question 2 *Cross-Site Request Forgery (CSRF)*

In a CSRF attack, a malicious user is able to take action on behalf of the victim. Consider the following example. Mallory posts the following in a comment on a chat forum:

```

```

Of course, Patsy-Bank won't let just anyone request a transaction on behalf of any given account name. Users first need to authenticate with a password. However, once a user has authenticated, Patsy-Bank associates their session ID with an authenticated session state.

- (a) Explain what could happen when Alice visits the chat forum and views Mallory's comment.
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
- (b) Patsy-Bank decides to check that the `Referer` header contains `patsy-bank.com`. Will the attack above work? Why or why not?
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
- (c) Describe one way Mallory can modify her attack to always get around this check
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
- (d) Recall that the `Referer` header provides the full URL. HTTP additionally offers an `Origin` header which acts the same as the `Referer` but only includes the website domain, not the entire URL. Why might the `Origin` header be preferred?
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
- (e) Almost all browsers support an additional cookie field `SameSite`. When `SameSite=strict`, the browser will only send the cookie if the requested domain **and** origin domain correspond to the cookie's domain. Which CSRF attacks will this stop? Which ones won't it stop? Give one big drawback of setting `SameSite=strict`.

### Question 3 *Second-order linear... err I mean SQL injection*

Alice likes to use a startup, `NotAmazon`, to do her online shopping. Whenever she adds an item to her cart, a POST request containing the field `item` is made. On receiving such a request, `NotAmazon` executes the following statement:

```
cart_add := fmt.Sprintf("INSERT INTO cart (session, item) " +
                        "VALUES ('%s', '%s')", sessionToken, item)
db.Exec(cart_add)
```

Each item in the cart is stored as a separate row in the `cart` table.

- (a) Alice is in desperate need of some toilet paper, but the website blocks her from adding more than 72 rolls to her cart 😊 Describe a POST request she can make to cause the `cart_add` statement to add 100 rolls of toilet paper to her cart.

When a user visits their cart, `NotAmazon` populates the webpage with links to the items. If a user only has one item in their cart, `NotAmazon` optimizes the query (avoiding joins) by doing the following:

```
cart_query := fmt.Sprintf("SELECT item FROM cart " +
                          "WHERE session='%s' LIMIT 1", sessionToken)
item := db.Query(cart_query)
link_query = fmt.Sprintf("SELECT link FROM items WHERE item='%s'", item)
db.Query(link_query)
```

After part(a), Alice recognizes a great business opportunity and begins reselling all of `NotAmazon`'s toilet paper at inflated prices. In a panic, `NotAmazon` fixes the vulnerability by parameterizing the `cart_add` statement.

- (b) Alice claims that parameterizing the `cart_add` statement won't stop her toilet paper trafficking empire. Describe how she can still add 100 rolls of toilet paper to her cart. Assume that `NotAmazon` checks that `sessionToken` is valid before executing any queries involving it.